

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/625,889	07/26/2000	Ted Chongpi Lee	LEE 4	8036
46363	7590 10/07/2005		EXAM	INER
-	TTERSON & SHERI	SOBUTKA	SOBUTKA, PHILIP	
	CHNOLOGIES, INC BURY AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SHREWSBUR	RY, NJ 07702	2684		
	•		DATE MAN ED. 10/07/200	-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	LA-P-C-N-	A 12			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	09/625,889	LEE, TED CHONGPI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Philip J. Sobutka	2684			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Se	eptember 2005.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2684

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. Claims 1,5,9,11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lauer et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,1 18,936).
- 2. Regarding claim 1, Lauer discloses a method for managing adjunct access for a circuit in a network management system. The method comprises providing a manageable link (a linkset) representing each non-managed portion of the circuit (non-IEC nodes), responsive to a determination that a non-managed portion of the circuit exists (See Figures 8b, 8c and 8e; col. 4, lines 33-48; col. 13, line 55 through col. 14, line 9; and col. 14, lines 18-29). Note that Lauer provides a link (linkset) between each non-managed portion of the circuit and a proximate managed portions (IEC nodes) of the circuit. The link may be characterized as a managed carrier link by a network management system (SNMS). See Figures 8b, 8c and 8e; col. 4, lines 3348. col. 13, line 55 through col. 14, line 9., and col. 14, lines 18-29.
- 3. Regarding claim 5, Lauer discloses a method for designing a continuous circuit. The method comprises determining a non-managed portion (non-IEC node) of a circuit exists, and providing a link (linkset) between each non-managed portion of the circuit and a proximate managed portions (IEC nodes) of the circuit. The link may be characterized as a managed carrier link by a network management system (SNMS). See Figures 8b, 8c and 8e; col. 4, lines 33-48, col. 13, line 55 through col. 14, line 9, and col. 14, lines 18-29.

Regarding claim 9, Lauer discloses a method comprising assigning links (linksets) bridging non-managed portions (non-IEC nodes) of a circuit path. It is inherent that the circuit path is created after a request to provision a circuit is received, and the circuit path is selected within a network comprising a plurality of network elements (IEC and non-IEC nodes). The assigned links may be characterized as managed carrier links (See Figures 8b, 8c and 8e; col. 4, lines 33-48; col. 13, line 55 through col. 14, line 9; and col. 14, lines 18-29).

Regarding claim 11, Lauer discloses all of the limitations of claim 9, and also discloses that the non-managed portions of the network comprise adjunct access facilities (LATA facilities) (See col. 14, lines 18-29).

Regarding claim 12, Lauer discloses an apparatus (SNMS servers 302/304/306/308) for designing a continuous circuit inherently comprising a processor and an associated storage device including instructions for controlling the processor (See col 4, lines 33-48). The instructions cause the processor to determine whether a non-managed portion (non-IEC node) of a circuit exists, and to provide a link (a linkset) between non-managed portions of the circuit and proximate managed portions (IEC nodes) of the circuit. The link may be characterized as a managed carrier link by a network management system (SNMS 300) (See Figures 8b, 8c and 8e; col. 13, line 55 through col. 14, line 9; and col 14, lines 18-29.

Art Unit: 2684

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 2-4, 6-8, 10 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lauer et al. in view of Dodd (Annabel Z. Dodd, 'The Essential Guide to Telecommunications," 1998, pp. 144-145.).

- 5. Regarding claims 2, 6, 10 and 13, Lauer discloses all of the limitations of claims 1, 5, 9 and 12, but does not disclose that each manageable link is coupled to at least one of a Digital Cross Connect, a Light Wave Guided Cross Connect, and a Distribution Drop Point. However, Dodd teaches that it is well known in the art to use a Digital Cross Connect in a communications network to couple multiple end users to a single T-1 circuit, thereby allowing efficient use of circuit resources (Annabel Z. Dodd, 'The Essential Guide to Telecommunications," 1998, pp. 144-145.). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Lauer as taught by Dodd, such that each manageable link is coupled to a Digital Cross Connect, in order to efficiently use circuit resources.
- 6. Regarding claims 3, 7 and 14, Lauer in view of Dodd teaches all of the limitations of claims 2, 6 and 13, and Lauer also discloses that each manageable link comprises a fiber optic cable (See col 2, lines 55-57).
- 7. Regarding claims 4, 8 and 15, Lauer in view of Dodd teaches all of the limitations of claims 2, 6 and 13, and Lauer also discloses that the links comprise a digital carrier (See col. 8, lines 45-61).

Art Unit: 2684

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed July 29, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claims 1, 5, 9, and 12, Applicant states, "Lauer teaches a network in which the entire network topology is known and, therefore all portions of associated circuits are known and managed." Note that this is a correct interpretation, sine this appears to be the point of the applicants claims, namely characterizing non-IEC (i.e. non-managed) portions of a circuit as managed portions in order to display and monitor the entire circuit, both managed (IEC) and non-managed (non-IEC) portions. Therefore this argument does not distinguish over the art.

Regarding applicants assertion that Lauer only teaches a first portion i.e. managed (IEC) to non-managed (non-IEC) links, this is not correct, since Lauer clearly presents non-IEC portions between managed portions, see for example Lauer figures 8b, 8c and especially figure 8e; also column 4, lines 33-48, column 13, line 55 through column 14, line 9, and column 14, lines 18-29.

Applicants' arguments regarding the rejection under 103 are based on the arguments against Lauer, which have been addressed above.

Conclusion

9. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Art Unit: 2684

10. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung can be reached on 571-272-7882.

11. The current fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

On <u>July 15, 2005</u>, the Central FAX Number will change to **571-273-8300**. This new Central FAX Number is the result of relocating the Central FAX server to the Office's Alexandria, Virginia campus.

Most facsimile-transmitted patent application related correspondence is required to be sent to the Central FAX Number. To give customers time to adjust to the new Central FAX Number, faxes sent to the old number (703-872-9306) will be routed to the new number until September 15, 2005. After September 15, 2005, the old number will no longer be in service and 571-273-8300 will be the only facsimile number recognized for "centralized delivery".

CENTRALIZED DELIVERY POLICY: For patent related correspondence, hand carry deliveries must be made to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314), and facsimile transmissions must be sent to the Central FAX number, unless an exception applies. For example, if the examiner has rejected claims in a regular U.S. patent application, and the reply to the examiner's Office action is desired to be transmitted by facsimile rather than mailed, the reply must be sent to the Central FAX Number.

12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 09/625,889

Art Unit: 2684

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Philip Sobutka

(571) 272-7887

EDWARD F. URBAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Page 7

TECHNICLOGY CENTER 2500